## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED

Jan 21, 2020

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2741

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -179)

On October 3, 2016, the Panel transferred 19 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 214 F.Supp.3d 1346 (J.P.M.L. 2016). Since that time, 2,275 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Chhabria.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of October 3, 2016, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Vince Chhabria.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jan 17, 2020

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that the annexed instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

ATTEST: SUSAN Y. SOONG Clerk, U.S. District Court Northern District of California

> Deputy Clerk January 17, 2020

## SCHEDULE CTO-179 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<b>DIST</b>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION		
CALIFORNIA EASTERN					
CAE	2	20-00015	Servadio v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00016	Applegate v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00021	Concepcion v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00023	Dalton v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00024	Huffman v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00025	Quigley v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00026	Smith v. Monsanto Company		
CAE	2	20-00028	Wood v. Monsanto Company		
DELAWAI	RE				
DE	1	19-02372	Helton v. Monsanto Company		
DE	1	19-02373	Phillips v. Monsanto Company		
	_	19 02373	1 mmps v. Monsume Company		
FLORIDA	MIDDLE				
FLM	5	19-00658	Catanzarite v. Monsanto Company		
FLM	5	19-00659	Bussmann v. Monsanto Company		
LOUISIANA MIDDLE					
LAM	3	20-00007	Martin v. Monsanto Company et al		
MICHIGAN WESTERN					
MIW	1	19-01022	Zuiderveen v. Bayer-Monsanto Company		
MINNESO	ТА				
MN	0	20-00036	Frens v. Monsanto Company		
MISSOURI	EASTERN	J			
MOE	4	19-03108	Keeney v. Monsanto Company et al		

MOE	4	19-03330	Purner v. Monsanto Company		
MOE	4	19-03333	Osborne v. Monsanto Company		
MOE	4	19-03334	Tucker v. Monsanto Company		
MOE	4	19-03342	Hameister et al v. Monsanto Company		
MOE	4	19-03343	Harrington v. Monsanto Company		
MOE	4	19-03344	Monroe v. Monsanto Company		
NEW YORK SOUTHERN					
NYS	1	19-11380	Copeland v. Monsanto Company		
PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN					
PAW	2	19-01052	MILLER et al v. MONSANTO COMPANY		
TENNESSEE MIDDLE					
TNM	3	19-01079	Patton v. Monsanto Company		